



Fretwell, D. (2017). Genus 2 paramodular Eisenstein congruences. The Ramanujan Journal. DOI: [10.1007/s11139-017-9884-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-017-9884-7)

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1007/s11139-017-9884-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-017-9884-7)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Springer at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-017-9884-7> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html>

Genus 2 paramodular Eisenstein congruences

Dan Fretwell¹ 

Received: 24 September 2016 / Accepted: 4 January 2017

© The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We investigate certain Eisenstein congruences, as predicted by Harder, for level p paramodular forms of genus 2. We use algebraic modular forms to generate new evidence for the conjecture. In doing this, we see explicit computational algorithms that generate Hecke eigenvalues for such forms.

Keywords Number theory · Automorphic forms · Eisenstein congruences

Mathematics Subject Classification 11F33 · 11F46 · 11F55

1 Introduction

Congruences between modular forms have been found and studied for many years. Perhaps, the first interesting example is found in the work of Ramanujan. He studied in great detail the Fourier coefficients $\tau(n)$ of the discriminant function $\Delta(z) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$ (where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$). The significance of Δ is that it is the unique normalized cusp form of weight 12.

Amongst Ramanujan's mysterious observations was a pretty congruence:

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}.$$

Here $\sigma_{11}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{11}$ is a power divisor sum. Naturally, one wishes to explain the appearance of the modulus 691. The true incarnation of this is via the fact that the

✉ Dan Fretwell
daniel.fretwell@bristol.ac.uk

¹ Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research, School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

prime 691 divides the numerator of the “rational part” of $\zeta(12)$, i.e., $\frac{\zeta(12)}{\pi^{12}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ (a quantity that appears in the Fourier coefficients of the Eisenstein series E_{12}).

Since the work of Ramanujan, there have been many generalizations of his congruences. Indeed, by looking for big enough primes dividing numerators of normalized zeta values, one can provide similar congruences at level 1 between cusp forms and Eisenstein series for other weights. In fact, one can even give “local origin” congruences between level p cusp forms and level 1 Eisenstein series by extending the divisibility criterion to include single Euler factors of $\zeta(s)$ rather than the global values of $\zeta(s)$ (see [8] for results and examples).

There are also Eisenstein congruences predicted for Hecke eigenvalues of genus 2 Siegel cusp forms. One particular type was conjectured to exist by Harder [15]. There is only a small amount of evidence for this conjecture, and the literature only contains examples at levels 1 and 2 (using methods specific to these levels). The conjecture is also far from being proved. Only one specific level 1 example of the congruence has been proved [5, p. 386].

In this paper, we will see new evidence for a level p version of Harder’s conjecture for various small primes (including $p = 2$ but not exclusively). The Siegel forms will be of paramodular type and the elliptic forms will be of $\Gamma_0(p)$ type. In doing this, we will make use of Jacquet–Langlands style conjectures due to Ibukiyama.

2 Harder’s conjecture

Given $k \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$, let $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ denote the space of elliptic cusp forms for $\Gamma_0(N)$. Also for $j \geq 0$ let $S_{j,k}(K(N))$ denote the space of genus 2, vector-valued Siegel cusp forms for the paramodular group of level N , taking values in the representation space $\text{Sym}^j(V) \otimes \det^k(V)$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (where V is the standard representation).

Given $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, we let $\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f, j+k) = \frac{\Lambda(f, j+k)}{\Omega}$, where $\Lambda(f, s)$ is the completed L-function attached to f and Ω is a Deligne period attached to f . The choice of Ω is unique up to scaling by \mathbb{Q}_f^\times but Harder shows how to construct a more canonical choice of Ω that is determined up to scaling by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_f}^\times$ [16].

In this paper, we consider the following paramodular version of Harder’s conjecture (when $N = 1$ this is the original conjecture found in [15]).

Conjecture 2.1 *Let $j > 0$, $k \geq 3$ and let $f \in S_{j+2k-2}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform with eigenvalues a_n . Suppose that $\text{ord}_\lambda(\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f, j+k)) > 0$ for some prime λ of \mathbb{Q}_f lying above a rational prime $l > j+2k-2$ (with $l \nmid N$).*

Then there exists a Hecke eigenform $F \in S_{j,k}^{\text{new}}(K(N))$ with eigenvalues $b_n \in \mathbb{Q}_F$ such that

$$b_q \equiv q^{k-2} + a_q + q^{j+k-1} \pmod{\Lambda}$$

for all primes $q \nmid N$ (where Λ is some prime lying above λ in the compositum $\mathbb{Q}_f\mathbb{Q}_F$).

It should be noted that Harder’s conjecture has still not been proved for level 1 forms. However, the specific example with $j = 4$, $k = 10$, and $l = 41$ mentioned in

Harder's paper has recently been proved in a paper by Chenevier and Lannes [5]. The proof uses the Niemeier classification of 24-dimensional lattices and is specific to this particular case.

Following the release of the level 1 conjecture, Faber and Van der Geer were able to do computations when $\dim(S_{j,k}(\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}))) = 1$. They have now exhausted such spaces and in each case have verified the congruence for a significant number of Hecke eigenvalues. Ghitza, Ryan, and Sulon give extra evidence for the case $j = 2$ [12]. More recently, Cléry, Faber, and Van der Geer gave more examples for the cases $j = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12$, and 14 [6].

For the level p conjecture, a substantial amount of evidence has been provided by Bergström et al for level 2 forms [1]. Their methods are specific to this level. A small amount of evidence is known beyond level 2. In particular, a congruence has been found with $(j, k, p, l) = (0, 3, 61, 43)$ by Mellit [16, p. 99].

In this paper, we use the theory of algebraic modular forms to provide evidence for the conjecture at levels $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$. The methods discussed can be extended to work for other levels.

3 Algebraic modular forms

In general, it is quite tough to compute Hecke eigensystems for paramodular forms. Fortunately, for a restricted set of levels, there is a (conjectural) Jacquet–Langlands style correspondence for GSp_4 due to Ihara and Ibukiyama [19].

Explicitly, it is expected that there is a Hecke equivariant isomorphism between the spaces $S_{j,k}^{\mathrm{new}}(K(p))$ and certain spaces of algebraic modular forms. Bearing this in mind, we give the reader a brief overview of the general theory of such forms. For more details, see the introductory article of Loeffler in [26].

3.1 The spaces $\mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V)$ of algebraic forms

Let G/\mathbb{Q} be a connected reductive group with the added condition that the Lie group $G(\mathbb{R})$ is connected and compact modulo center. Fix an open compact subgroup $K_f \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Also let V be (the space of) a finite dimensional algebraic representation of G , defined over a number field F .

Definition 3.1 The F -vector space of *algebraic modular forms* of level K_f and weight V for G is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V) \\ \cong \{f : G(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow V \mid f(\gamma g k) = \gamma f(g), \forall (\gamma, g, k) \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \times G(\mathbb{A}) \times K_f\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a set of representatives $T = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_h\} \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ for $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K_f$. There is a natural embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V) &\longrightarrow V^h \\ f &\longmapsto (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_h)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 *The map ϕ induces an isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V) \cong \bigoplus_{m=1}^h V^{\Gamma_m},$$

where $\Gamma_m = G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap z_m K_f z_m^{-1}$ for each m .

Corollary 3.3 *The spaces $\mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V)$ are finite dimensional.*

A pleasing feature of the theory is that the groups Γ_m are often finite. Gross gives many equivalent conditions for when this happens [14]. One such condition is the following.

Proposition 3.4 *The groups Γ_m are finite if and only if $G(\mathbb{Z})$ is finite.*

3.2 Hecke operators

Let $u \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and fix a decomposition $K_f u K_f = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r u_i K_f$. It is well known that finitely many representatives occur. Then T_u acts on $f \in \mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V)$ via

$$T_u(f)(g) := \sum_{i=1}^r f(gu_i), \quad \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

It is easy to see that this is independent of the choice of representatives u_i since they are determined up to right multiplication by K_f .

We wish to find the Hecke representatives u_i explicitly and efficiently. To this end, a useful observation can be made when the class number is one.

Proposition 3.5 *If $h = 1$, then we may choose Hecke representatives that lie in $G(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Finally, we note that for G satisfying Proposition 3.4 there is a natural inner product on the space $\mathcal{A}(G, K, V)$. This is given in Gross' paper [14] but we shall give the rough details here.

Lemma 3.6 *Let G satisfy the property of Proposition 3.4 and V be a finite dimensional algebraic representation of G , defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then there exists a character $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ and a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$\langle \gamma u, \gamma v \rangle = \mu(\gamma) \langle u, v \rangle$$

for all $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})$.

Taking adelic points, we have a character $\mu' : G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\times$. Let $\mu_{\mathbb{A}} = f \circ \mu'$, where $f : \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times$ is the natural projection map coming from the decomposition $\mathbb{A}^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \mathbb{R}^+ \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$.

Proposition 3.7 *Let G satisfy the property of Proposition 3.4. Then $\mathcal{A}(G, K, V)$ has a natural inner product given by*

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^h \frac{1}{|\Gamma_m| \mu_{\mathbb{A}}(z_m)} \langle f(z_m), g(z_m) \rangle.$$

3.3 Trace of Hecke operators

The underlying representation V of G is typically big in dimension and so the action of Hecke operators is, although explicit, quite tough to compute. Fortunately, there is a simple trace formula for Hecke operators on spaces of algebraic modular forms. The details of the formula can be found in [9] but we give brief details here.

Note that $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ acts on the set Z on the left by setting $w \cdot z_i = z_j$ if and only if $G(\mathbb{Q})(wz_i)K_f = G(\mathbb{Q})z_jK_f$. For each $m = 1, 2, \dots, h$, we consider the set $S_m = \{i \mid u_i \cdot z_m = z_m\}$. Next for each $i \in S_m$ choose elements $k_{m,i} \in K_f$ and $\gamma_{m,i} \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\gamma_{m,i}^{-1} u_i z_m k_{m,i} = z_m$.

Let χ_V denote the character of the representation of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ on V . Then the trace formula is as follows.

Theorem 3.8 (Dummigan)

$$\text{tr}(T_u) = \sum_{m=1}^h \frac{1}{|\Gamma_m|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m, i \in S_m} \chi_V(\gamma_{m,i} \gamma).$$

More generally,

$$\text{tr}(T_u^d) = \sum_{m=1}^h \frac{1}{|\Gamma_m|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m, (i_n) \in S_m^d} \chi_V \left(\left(\prod_{n=1}^d \gamma_{m,i_n} \right) \gamma \right).$$

Letting $u = \text{id}$, we recover the following:

Corollary 3.9

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}(G, K_f, V)) = \sum_{m=1}^h \frac{1}{|\Gamma_m|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m} \chi_V(\gamma).$$

When $h = 1$, the situation becomes much simpler. In this case, we may choose $z_1 = \text{id}$ and $\gamma_{1,i} = u_i \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ for each i (this is possible by Corollary 3.5).

Corollary 3.10 *If $h = 1$, then we have*

$$\text{tr}(T_u) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, 1 \leq i \leq r} \chi_V(u_i \gamma),$$

where $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K_f$.

The trace formula was introduced to test a $U(2, 2)$ analogue of Harder's conjecture. In this paper, we will use it to test the level p paramodular version of Harder's conjecture given by Conjecture 2.1.

4 Eichler and Ibukiyama correspondences

4.1 Eichler's correspondence

From now on, D will denote a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at $\{p, \infty\}$ (for a fixed prime p) and \mathcal{O} will be a fixed maximal order. Since D is definite, we have that $D_\infty^\times = D^\times \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H}^\times$ is compact modulo center (and is also connected). Thus, we may consider algebraic modular forms for the group $G = D^\times$.

Also note that in this case each Γ_m will be finite since $D^\times(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{O}^\times$ is finite.

Let $D_q := D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_q$ be the local component at prime q (no restriction on q) and let $D_{\mathbb{A}_f}$ be the restricted direct product of D_q 's with respect to the local maximal orders $\mathcal{O}_q := \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$.

Note that if $q \neq p$ then $D_q^\times \cong (M_2(\mathbb{Q}_q))^\times = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)$. Thus, locally away from the ramified prime, D^\times behaves like GL_2 .

In fact more is true. It is the case that the reductive groups D^\times and GL_2 are inner forms of each other. So by the principle of Langlands functoriality we expect a transfer of automorphic forms between D^\times and GL_2 . Eichler gives an explicit description of this transfer.

Let $V_n = \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (for $n \geq 0$). Then V_n gives a well-defined representation of $SU(2)/\{\pm I\}$ if and only if n is even. Thus, we get a well-defined action on V_n by D^\times through

$$D^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times \cong SU(2)/\{\pm I\}.$$

Take $U = \prod_q \mathcal{O}_q^\times$. This is an open compact subgroup of $D_{\mathbb{A}_f}^\times$.

Theorem 4.1 (Eichler) *Let $k > 2$. Then there is a Hecke equivariant isomorphism:*

$$S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p)) \cong \mathcal{A}(D^\times, U, V_{k-2}).$$

For $k = 2$, the above holds if on the right we quotient out by the space of constant functions.

It remains to describe how the Hecke operators transfer over the isomorphism. Fix a prime $q \neq p$ and an isomorphism $\psi : D_q^\times \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)$. Choose $u \in D_{\mathbb{A}_f}^\times$ such that $\psi(u_q) = \text{diag}(1, q)$ and is the identity at all other places. The corresponding Hecke operator corresponds to the classical T_q operator under Eichler's correspondence.

4.2 Ibukiyama's correspondence

Ibukiyama's correspondence is a (conjectural) generalization of Eichler's correspondence to Siegel modular forms. The details can be found in [19] but we explain the main ideas.

Given the setup in the previous subsection, consider the unitary similitude group:

$$\mathrm{GU}_n(D) = \{g \in \mathrm{M}_n(D) \mid g\bar{g}^T = \mu(g)I, \mu(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\}.$$

Here \bar{g} means componentwise application of the standard involution of D . This group is the similitude group of the standard Hermitian form on D^n .

Lemma 4.2 *For any field K , there exists a similitude-preserving isomorphism $\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathrm{M}_2(K)) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(K)$.*

Proof Conjugation by the matrix $M = \mathrm{diag}(1, A, 1) \in \mathrm{M}_4(K)$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ gives such an isomorphism. \square

One consequence of this is that the group $\mathrm{GU}_2(D)$ behaves like GSp_4 locally away from the ramified prime. It is indeed true that these groups are also inner forms of each other.

A simple argument also shows that $\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{H})/\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{H})) \cong \mathrm{USp}(4)/\{\pm I\}$. Thus $\mathrm{GU}_2(D_\infty)$ is compact modulo center and connected. Thus, we may consider algebraic modular forms for this group. Once again, we are guaranteed that the Γ_m groups are finite by the following.

Lemma 4.3 $\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathcal{O}) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}) \mid \mu(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^\times\}$ is finite.

Proof Solving the equations gives

$$\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}^\times \right\}.$$

\square

One consequence of Lemma 4.2 is that $\mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ for all $q \neq p$.

Lemma 4.4 *For any $q \neq p$, there exists a similitude-preserving isomorphism $\psi : \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ that preserves integrality:*

$$\psi(\mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cap \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)) = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_q) \cap \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z}_q).$$

Proof Choose an isomorphism of quaternion algebras $D_q \cong \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ that preserves the norm, trace, and integrality. This induces an isomorphism with the required properties since

$$\mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cong \mathrm{GU}_2(\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)) \cong \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_q).$$

\square

Let $V_{j,k-3}$ be the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ with Young diagram parameters $(j+k-3, k-3)$. This gives a well-defined representation of $\mathrm{USp}(4)/\{\pm I\}$ if and only if j is even. Thus, $\mathrm{GU}_2(D)$ acts on this through

$$\mathrm{GU}_2(D) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{H})/Z(\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{H})) \cong \mathrm{USp}(4)/\{\pm I\}.$$

The groups $\mathrm{GU}(D)$ and GSp_4 are inner forms. Thus (as with Eichler), one expects a transfer of automorphic forms. The following is found in Ibukiyama's paper [19].

Conjecture 4.5 (Ibukiyama) *Let $j \geq 0$ be an even integer and $k \geq 3$. Suppose $(j, k) \neq (0, 3)$. Then there is a Hecke equivariant isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j,k}^{\mathrm{new}}(\Gamma_0(p)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{new}}(\mathrm{GU}_2(D), U_1, V_{j,k-3}) \\ S_{j,k}^{\mathrm{new}}(K(p)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{new}}(\mathrm{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3}), \end{aligned}$$

where $U_1, U_2, V_{j,k-3}$ are to be defined.

If $(j, k) = (0, 3)$, then we also get an isomorphism after taking the quotient by the constant functions on the right.

Since our eventual goal is to study Harder's conjecture for paramodular forms, we will neglect the first of these isomorphisms. However, it will turn out that the open compact subgroup U_1 will prove useful in later calculations.

4.2.1 The levels U_1 and U_2 .

In Eichler's correspondence, the "level 1" open compact subgroup $U = \prod_q \mathcal{O}_q^\times \subset D_{\mathbb{A}_f}^\times$ can be viewed as $\mathrm{Stab}_{D_{\mathbb{A}_f}^\times}(\mathcal{O})$ under an action defined by right multiplication. Similarly, one can produce open compact subgroups $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})}(L) \subseteq \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$, where L is a left \mathcal{O} -lattice of rank 2 in D^2 (a free left \mathcal{O} -module of rank 2).

A left \mathcal{O} -lattice $L \subseteq D^2$ gives rise to a left \mathcal{O}_q -lattice $L_q = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q \subseteq D_q^2$ for each prime q . A result of Shimura tells us the possibilities for L_q (see [28]).

Theorem 4.6 *Let D be a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} . If D is split at q , then L_q is right $\mathrm{GU}_2(D_q)$ equivalent to \mathcal{O}_q^2 . If D is ramified at q , then there are exactly two possibilities for L_q , up to right $\mathrm{GU}_2(D_q)$ equivalence (one being \mathcal{O}_q^2).*

When D is ramified at $\{p, \infty\}$, it is clear from this result that there are only two possibilities for L , up to local equivalence.

Definition 4.7 Let D be ramified at p, ∞ for some prime p :

- If L_p is locally right equivalent to \mathcal{O}_p^2 for all q , then we say that L lies in the principal genus.
- If L_p is locally right inequivalent to \mathcal{O}_p^2 , then we say that L lies in the non-principal genus.

□

Given L , results of Ibukiyama [23] allow us to write $L = \mathcal{O}^2 g$ for some $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ and determine the genus of L based on g .

- Theorem 4.8** (1) L lies in the principal genus if and only if $g\bar{g}^T = mx$ for some positive $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ and some $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ such that $x = \bar{x}^T$ and such that x is positive definite, i.e., $yx\bar{y}^T > 0$ for all $y \in D^n$ with $y \neq 0$.
- (2) L lies in the non-principal genus if and only if $g\bar{g}^T = m \begin{pmatrix} ps & r \\ \bar{r} & pt \end{pmatrix}$, where $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ is positive, $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathcal{O}$ lies in the two-sided ideal of \mathcal{O} above p and is such that $p^2st - N(r) = p$ (so that the matrix on the right has determinant p).

The lattice \mathcal{O}^2 is clearly in the principal genus and corresponds to the choice $g = I$. Alternatively, fix a choice of g such that $\mathcal{O}^2 g$ is in the non-principal genus. Let U_1, U_2 denote, respectively, the corresponding open compact subgroups of $\mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ (as described above).

4.2.2 Hecke operators

The transfer of Hecke operators in Ibukiyama's correspondence is similar to the Eichler correspondence but there are subtle differences. Fix a prime $q \neq p$ and an isomorphism ψ as in Lemma 4.4. Then we may find $v_q \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q)$ such that $\psi(v_q) = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, q, q)$.

Recall that D is split at q . By Theorem 4.6, there exists $h_q \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q)$ such that $\mathcal{O}^2 g_q = \mathcal{O}^2 h_q$ (where g_q is the image of g under the standard embedding $\mathrm{GU}_2(D) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q)$). Fix such a choice.

Let $u \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ have $u_q = h_q v_q h_q^{-1}$ as the component at q and have identity component elsewhere.

Definition 4.9 Given u as above, the corresponding Hecke operator on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{new}}(\mathrm{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3})$ will be called $T_{u,q}$. \square

Under Ibukiyama's correspondence, it is predicted that $T_{u,q}$ corresponds to the classical T_q operator acting on $S_{j,k}^{\mathrm{new}}(K(p))$.

4.2.3 The new subspace

Our final task in defining Ibukiyama's correspondence is to explain what is meant by the new subspace $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{new}}(\mathrm{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3})$. We will not go into too much detail but will refer the reader to Ibukiyama's papers [21, 22].

Let $G = D^\times \times \mathrm{GU}_2(D)$. Then we have an open compact subgroup $U' = U \times U_2$ and finite dimensional representations $W_{j,k-3} := V_j \otimes V_{j,k-3}$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

We start with the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{A}(G, U', W_{j,k-3}) \cong \mathcal{A}(D^\times, U, V_j) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3}).$$

Ibukiyama takes $F \in \mathcal{A}(G, U', W_{j,k-3})$. If F is an eigenform, then $F = F_1 \otimes F_2$ for eigenforms F_1, F_2 . He then associates an explicit theta series θ_F to F . This is an elliptic modular form for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight $j + 2k - 2$ (if $j + 2k - 6 \neq 0$, then it is

a cusp form). It is known that θ_F is an eigenform for all Hecke operators if and only if $\theta_F \neq 0$.

Definition 4.10 The subspace of old forms $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{old}}(D) \subseteq A_{j,k-3}(D)$ is generated by the eigenforms F_2 such that there exists an eigenform F_1 satisfying $\theta_{F_1 \otimes F_2} \neq 0$.

The subspace of new forms $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)$ is the orthogonal complement of the old space with respect to the inner product in Proposition 3.7. \square

It should be noted that by Eichler's correspondence F_1 can be viewed as an elliptic modular form for $\Gamma_0(p)$ of weight $j+2$. Further it will be a new cusp form precisely when $j > 0$. Thus, computationally it is not difficult to find the new and old subspaces.

5 Finding evidence for Harder's conjecture

Now that we have linked spaces of Siegel modular forms $S_{j,k}^{\text{new}}(K(p))$ with spaces of algebraic modular forms $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}(\text{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3})$, we can begin to generate evidence for Harder's conjecture.

5.1 Brief plan of the strategy

In this paper, we deal with cases where $h = 1$ and $\dim(A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)) = 1$.

Strategy

- (1) Find all primes p such that $h = 1$.
- (2) For each such p calculate $\Gamma^{(2)} = \text{GU}_2(D) \cap U_2$.
- (3) Using Corollary 3.9 find all j, k such that $\dim(A_{j,k}^{\text{new}}(D)) = 1$.
- (4) For each pair (j, k) look in the space of elliptic forms $S_{j+2k-2}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p))$ for normalized eigenforms f which have a "large prime" dividing $\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f, j+k) \in \mathbb{Q}_f$.
- (5) Find the Hecke representatives for the $T_{u,q}$ operator at a chosen prime q .
- (6) Use the trace formula to find $\text{tr}(T_{u,q})$ for T_q acting on $A_{j,k-3}(D)$.
- (7) Subtract off the trace contribution of $T_{u,q}$ acting on $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{old}}(D)$ in order to get the trace of the action on $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)$. Since $\dim(A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)) = 1$, this trace should be exactly the Hecke eigenvalue of a new paramodular eigenform by Ibukiyama's conjecture.
- (8) Check that Harder's congruence holds.

The above strategy can be modified to work for the case $\dim(A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)) = d > 1$ but one must compute $\text{tr}(T_{u,q}^t)$ for $1 \leq t \leq d$.

5.2 Finding $\Gamma^{(2)}$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, consider the subset:

$$\text{GU}_n(D)_\theta = \{\gamma \in \text{GU}_2(D) \mid \mu(\gamma) = \theta\}.$$

In particular let $\text{SU}_2(D) := \text{GU}_2(D)_1$.

Lemma 5.1 *The group $\Gamma^{(2)}$ consists of the following set of matrices:*

$$\Gamma^{(2)} = \mathrm{SU}_2(D) \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})g,$$

where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 4.8.

Proof We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{(2)} &= \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GU}_2(D)}(\mathcal{O}^2g) = \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\mathcal{O}^2g) \\ &= \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{S}g = \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})g. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that any such matrix has similitude 1. \square

Recall also the open compact subgroup $U_1 = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GU}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)}(\mathcal{O}^2) \subset \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$. This is the stabilizer of a left \mathcal{O} -lattice lying in the principal genus.

In this case, the analogue of the group $\Gamma^{(2)}$ is the group $\Gamma^{(1)} = \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap U_1$. We can employ identical arguments to the above to show the following:

Lemma 5.2

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = \mathrm{SU}_2(D) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{GU}_2(\mathcal{O}).$$

We already have an explicit description of $\Gamma^{(1)}$ (see Lemma 4.3). Computationally, it is not straightforward to find the elements of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ due to the non-integrality of the entries of such matrices.

For $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, consider the sets

$$Y_\theta = \mathrm{GU}_2(D)_\theta \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times g$$

and

$$W_\theta = \{v \in \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times \mid vA\bar{v}^T = \theta A\},$$

where $\mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times = \mathrm{GL}_2(D) \cap \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})$ and $A = g\bar{g}^T$.

Then in particular $Y_1 = \Gamma^{(2)}$. Later, the sets Y_q for prime $q \neq p$ will appear when finding Hecke representatives.

Proposition 5.3 *For each $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, conjugation by g gives a bijection*

$$\Phi_\theta : Y_\theta \longrightarrow W_\theta.$$

To calculate the sets W_θ we diagonalize A . Choose a matrix $P \in \mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ such that $PA\bar{P}^T = B$, where $B \in \mathrm{M}_2(D)$ is a diagonal matrix.

Proposition 5.4 *For each $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, conjugation by P gives a bijection:*

$$W_\theta \longrightarrow Z_\theta := \{\eta \in P \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times P^{-1} \mid \eta B \bar{\eta}^T = \theta B\}.$$

If we make an appropriate choice of g and P , then we can diagonalize A in such a way as to preserve one integral entry in PvP^{-1} .

Lemma 5.5 *Suppose we can choose $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $N(\lambda) = p - 1$, $N(\mu) = p$ and $\text{tr}(r) = 0$ (where $r = \lambda\bar{\mu}$). Then*

$$g_{\lambda,\mu} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\bar{r}}{p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are valid choices for g and P .

Further $P_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1} = \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}}$.

Proof A simple calculation shows that

$$A_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \bar{\lambda} & \bar{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + N(\lambda) & \lambda\bar{\mu} \\ \mu\bar{\lambda} & N(\mu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ \bar{r} & p \end{pmatrix},$$

and also that $\det(A_{\lambda,\mu}) = p^2 - N(r) = p^2 - p(p - 1) = p$ as required.

To prove the second claim, we note that $r^2 = -p(p - 1)$ by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem (since $\text{tr}(r) = 0$ and $N(r) = p(p - 1)$). Then

$$P_{\lambda,\mu} A_{\lambda,\mu} \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\bar{r}}{p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ \bar{r} & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{r}{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p + \frac{r^2}{p} + \frac{\bar{r}}{p}(\text{tr}(r)) & \text{tr}(r) \\ \text{tr}(r) & p \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $P_{\lambda,\mu} A_{\lambda,\mu} \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}}^T = \text{diag}(1, p)$.

The final claim follows from the fact that $P_{\lambda,\mu} \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}} = I$ (which again uses the fact that $\text{tr}(r) = 0$). \square

It is in fact always possible to find *some* maximal order \mathcal{O} of D where such λ, μ exist. For proof of this I refer to an online discussion with John Voight [31], of which the author is grateful. We fix such a choice from now on.

Corollary 5.6 *Let $v \in M_2(\mathcal{O})$. Then the bottom left entries of v and $P_{\lambda,\mu} v \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}}$ are equal (in particular this entry remains in \mathcal{O}).*

Proof Let $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{O}$. Then a simple calculation shows that

$$P_{\lambda,\mu} v \overline{P_{\lambda,\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \frac{\bar{r}\gamma}{p} & (\frac{\alpha r}{p} + \beta) + \frac{\bar{r}}{p}(\frac{\gamma r}{p} + \delta) \\ \gamma & \frac{\gamma r}{p} + \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

The matrix $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(D)$ belongs to Z_θ if and only if

$$\eta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \bar{\eta}^T = \theta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}N(x) + pN(y) &= \theta \\ N(z) + pN(w) &= \theta p \\ x\bar{z} + py\bar{w} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, these equations can have no solutions for $\theta < 0$ and so we only consider $\theta \geq 0$.

A quick calculation shows that $N(x) = N(w)$ and $N(z) = p^2N(y)$ (a fact we will use soon).

Corollary 5.7 *Let $\theta \geq 0$. Then W_θ consists of all matrices $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{O})^\times$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}pN(p\alpha + \bar{r}\gamma) + N(p(\alpha r + p\beta) + \bar{r}(\gamma r + p\delta)) &= \theta p^3 \\ pN(\gamma) + N(\gamma r + p\delta) &= \theta p^2 \\ p\alpha\bar{\gamma} + (\alpha r + p\beta)(\overline{\gamma r + p\delta}) &= -\theta p\bar{r}.\end{aligned}$$

The following algorithm allows us to compute W_θ for $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by X_i the subset of \mathcal{O} consisting of norm i elements.

Algorithm 1

Step 0: Set $j := 0$. For each integer $0 \leq i \leq \theta p$, generate the norm lists $X_i, X_{p(\theta p - i)}, X_{p^2 i}$.

Step 1: Loop through all $(\gamma, \gamma') \in X_j \times X_{p(\theta p - j)}$ and test whether $\delta := \frac{\gamma' - \gamma r}{p} \in \mathcal{O}$. Whenever successful store the pair (γ, δ) .

Step 2: Loop through each pair from Step 1 and each $\gamma'' \in X_{p(\theta p - j)}$, testing whether $\alpha := \frac{\gamma'' - \bar{r}\gamma}{p} \in \mathcal{O}$. Whenever successful store the tuple (α, γ, δ) .

Step 3: Loop through each triple from Step 2 and each $\gamma''' \in X_{p^2 j}$, testing whether $\beta := \frac{\gamma''' - (\bar{r}(\gamma r + p\delta) + p\alpha r)}{p^2} \in \mathcal{O}$. Whenever successful store the tuple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$.

Step 4: For each tuple from Step 3, check whether the entries satisfy the third equation of Corollary 5.7.

Step 5: Set $j := j + 1$ and repeat steps 1–4 until $j > \theta p$.

Of course, once the elements of W_θ have been found, it is straightforward to generate the elements of Y_θ by inverting the bijection Φ_θ in Proposition 5.3.

It should be noted that if we run this algorithm for $p = 2$ with the following choices:

$$\begin{aligned}D &= \left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{Q}} \right) \\ \mathcal{O} &= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}j \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1+i+j+k}{2} \\ \lambda &= -1 \\ \mu &= i - k \\ \theta &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

then we get exactly the same elements for $Y_1 = \Gamma^{(2)}$ as Ibukiyama does on [19, p. 592].

5.3 Finding h

We can use mass formulae to get information on class numbers h_1 and h_2 for U_1 and U_2 .

Define the mass of open compact $U \subset \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ as follows:

$$M(U) := \sum_{m=1}^h \frac{1}{|\Gamma_m|},$$

where $\Gamma_m = \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap z_m U z_m^{-1}$ for representatives $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ of $\mathrm{GU}_2(D) \backslash \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f}) / U$.

Ibukiyama provides the following formulae for $M(U_1)$ and $M(U_2)$ in [19].

Theorem 5.8 *If D is ramified at p and ∞ , then*

$$M(U_1) = \frac{(p-1)(p^2+1)}{5760},$$

$$M(U_2) = \frac{p^2-1}{5760}.$$

This formula is analogous to the Eichler mass formula and is also a special case of the mass formula of Gan et al. [10].

Proposition 5.9 *$h_1 = 1$ if and only if $|\Gamma^{(1)}| = \frac{5760}{(p-1)(p^2+1)}$. Similarly $h_2 = 1$ if and only if $|\Gamma^{(2)}| = \frac{5760}{p^2-1}$.*

Corollary 5.10 *$h_1 = 1$ if and only if $p = 2, 3$. Similarly $h_2 = 1$ if and only if $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$.*

Proof A quick calculation shows that the only primes to satisfy $\frac{5760}{(p-1)(p^2+1)} \in \mathbb{N}$ are $p = 2, 3$. Recall $|\Gamma^{(1)}| = 2|\mathcal{O}^\times|^2$. For $p = 2, 3$, we have $|\mathcal{O}^\times| = 24, 12$, respectively, and one checks that both values satisfy the equation.

The primes satisfying $\frac{5760}{p^2-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ are $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 19, 31$. Using Algorithm 1, one finds that $|\Gamma^{(2)}| = \frac{5760}{p^2-1}$ for the cases $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$. \square

Ibukiyama and Hashimoto have produced formulae in [17] and [18] that give the values of h_1 and h_2 for any ramified prime. Their formulae agree with this result.

5.4 Finding the Hecke representatives

Now that we have found an algorithm to generate the elements of $\Gamma^{(2)}$, we consider the same question for the Hecke representatives for the $T_{u,q}$ operator on $A_{j,k-3}(D)$ (where $q \neq p$ is a fixed prime).

Theorem 5.11 *Let D be a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at p, ∞ for some $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$. Suppose $u \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ is chosen as in Definition 4.9. Then*

$$U_2 u U_2 = \coprod_{[x_i] \in Y_q / \Gamma^{(2)}} x_i U_2.$$

Proof Consider an arbitrary decomposition:

$$U_2 u U_2 = \coprod x_i U_2.$$

By Proposition 3.5, we may take $x_i \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D)$ for each i . For the rest of the proof, we embed $\mathrm{GU}_2(D) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ diagonally.

Note that for any prime $l \neq q$ we have

$$U_{2,l} u_l U_{2,l} = U_{2,l} = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{GU}_2(D_l)}(\mathcal{O}_l^2 g_l) = \mathrm{GU}_2(D_l) \cap g_l^{-1} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l) g_l.$$

Thus, $x_i \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_l) \cap g_l^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)^\times g_l$ and $\mu(x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$ for all i .

It remains to study the double coset locally at q . Note that $h_q g_q^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)$ so that $h_q = k_q g_q$ for some $k_q \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_q) \subseteq \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times$.

Conjugation by h_q gives a bijection between $U_{2,q} u_q U_{2,q}$ and $G v_q G$, where $G = \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)$. If we fix an isomorphism as in Lemma 4.4, then this is in bijection with $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_q) M_q \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ (where $M_q = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, q, q)$).

Since by definition $v_q \mapsto M_q \in \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_q) \cap \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z}_q)$, we see that $v_q \in \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times$ and so $u_q \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cap h_q^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times h_q$.

However,

$$h_q^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times h_q = g_q^{-1} (k_q^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times k_q) g_q = g_q^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times g_q.$$

Thus, $u_q \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_q) \cap g_q^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_q)^\times g_q$ and the same can be said about x_i .

Also since both the conjugation and our chosen isomorphism respect similitude, we find that $\mu(u_q) = \mu(M_q) = q$ and so $\mu(U_{2,q} u_q U_{2,q}) \subseteq q \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$. In particular $\mu(x_i) \in q \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$.

Globally, we now see that

$$x_i \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap \prod_l \left(\mathrm{GU}_2(D_l) \cap g_l^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)^\times g_l \right) = \mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times g$$

for each i . We also observe that $\mu(x_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \left(q \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \prod_{l \neq q} \mathbb{Z}_l^\times \right) = \{\pm q\}$. However, in our case, the similitude is positive definite so that $\mu(x_i) = q$.

Thus, x_i can be taken to lie in Y_q . It is clear that each such element lies in the double coset.

It remains to see which elements of Y_q generate the same left coset. We have $x_i U_2 = x_j U_2$ if and only if $x_j^{-1} x_i \in U_2$. But also $x_i, x_j \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D)$, hence $x_j^{-1} x_i \in$

$\mathrm{GU}_2(D) \cap U_2 = \Gamma^{(2)}$. So equivalence of left cosets is up to right multiplication by $\Gamma^{(2)}$. \square

We have a nice formula for the degree of $T_{u,q}$, found in the work of Ihara [24].

Proposition 5.12 *For $q \neq p$, we have that $\deg(T_{u,q}) = (q+1)(q^2+1)$.*

Employing similar arguments to Theorem 5.11, we get the following:

Theorem 5.13 *Let D be a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at p, ∞ for some $p \in \{2, 3\}$. Suppose $u \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ is chosen as in Definition 4.9. Then*

$$U_1 u U_1 = \coprod_{[x_i] \in (\mathrm{GU}_2(D)_q \cap \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times) / \Gamma^{(1)}} x_i U_1.$$

Since $\Gamma^{(1)}$ is given explicitly, it is possible to write down explicit representatives in this case.

Corollary 5.14 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_k = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid N(\alpha) = k\}$, $t_k = |X_k / \mathcal{O}^\times|$, and $x_{1,k}, x_{2,k}, \dots, x_{t_k,k}$ be a set of representatives for X_k / \mathcal{O}^\times . For such a choice of k , define*

$$R_k := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,k} & v \\ w & x_{j,k} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i, j \leq t_k, \quad v, w \in X_{n-k} \\ x_{i,k} \bar{w} + v \bar{x}_{j,k} = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

The following matrices are representatives for $(\mathrm{GU}_2(D)_n \cap \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times) / \Gamma^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{k=m+1}^n R_k, \quad \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ & \left(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^n R_k \right) \cup R'_m, \quad \text{if } n = 2m \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

The finite subset $R'_m \subset R_m$ is to be constructed in the proof.

Proof Let $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{M}_2(\mathcal{O})^\times$. In order for $v \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D)_n$ to hold, we must satisfy the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} N(\alpha) + N(\beta) &= n \\ N(\gamma) + N(\delta) &= n \\ \alpha \bar{\gamma} + \beta \bar{\delta} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar vein to previous discussion, these equations imply that $N(\alpha) = N(\delta)$ and $N(\beta) = N(\gamma)$. Note that the first equation implies that $0 \leq N(\alpha) \leq n$.

We wish to study equivalence of these matrices under right multiplication by $\Gamma^{(1)}$.

Case 1: $N(\alpha) \neq N(\beta)$.

We may assume that $N(\alpha) > \frac{n}{2}$ since for $x, y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta y & \alpha x \\ \delta y & \gamma x \end{pmatrix}$$

and $N(\beta y) = N(\beta) = n - N(\alpha) > n - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2}$.

Under this assumption, there are no anti-diagonal equivalences so it remains to check for diagonal equivalences.

Now

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x & \beta y \\ \gamma x & \delta y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting $k = N(\alpha)$, choose $x, y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ so that $\alpha x = x_{i,k}$ and $\delta y = x_{j,k}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq t_k$. Then v is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} x_{i,k} & v \\ w & x_{j,k} \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly the matrices of this form are inequivalent.

It is now clear that R_k gives representatives for the particular subcase $N(\alpha) = k > \frac{n}{2}$.

Case 2: $N(\alpha) = N(\beta) = \frac{n}{2} = m$.

The matrices $\begin{pmatrix} x_{i,m} & v \\ w & x_{j,m} \end{pmatrix}$ may now have extra anti-diagonal equivalences.

Suppose

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{i,m} & v \\ w & x_{j,m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{s,m} & v' \\ w' & x_{t,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then x, y are uniquely determined as follows:

$$x = \frac{\overline{w}x_{t,m}}{m}$$

$$y = \frac{\overline{v}x_{s,m}}{m}.$$

Thus, each such matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x_{i,m} & v \\ w & x_{j,m} \end{pmatrix}$ with $v, w \in X_m$ can only be equivalent to at most one other matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{s,m} & \frac{x_{i,m}\overline{w}x_{t,m}}{m} \\ \frac{x_{j,m}\overline{v}x_{s,m}}{m} & x_{t,m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $v \sim x_{s,m}$ and $w \sim x_{t,m}$ under the action of right unit multiplication.

Let R'_m be a set consisting of a choice of matrix from each of these equivalence pairs (as $x_{i,m}$ and $x_{j,m}$ run through representatives for X_m/\mathcal{O}^\times and v, w run through

elements of X_m satisfying $x_{i,m}\bar{w} + v\bar{x}_{j,m} = 0$). Then it is now clear that R'_m is a set of representatives for this subcase. \square

In the subcase $k = n - 1$, it is often easier to use anti-diagonal equivalence (since $X_1/\mathcal{O}^\times = \{1\}$). In this case, we can identify

$$R_{n-1} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ -\bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in X_{n-1} \right\}.$$

When $n = 2$ exactly half of these will form a set of representatives. In fact, it is simple to see that the equivalent pairs would be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ -\bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ \bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$R'_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_i \\ -\bar{z}_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid [z_i] \in \mathcal{O}^\times / \{\pm 1\} \right\}.$$

Example 5.15 If we apply Corollary 5.14 to the choices

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{Q}} \right), \\ \mathcal{O} &= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}j \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1+i+j+k}{2}, \\ n &= 3, \\ X_3/\mathcal{O}^\times &= \{[1 \pm i \pm j], \}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that Hecke representatives for U_1 with ramified prime $p = 2$ and $q = 3$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, & x, y \in \{1 \pm i \pm j\}, \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ -\bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \mathcal{O}, N(z) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

There are 40 representatives here as expected and they agree with the explicit representatives given by Ibukiyama on [19, p. 594]. \square

So far we have not needed the open compact subgroup U_1 , but it is actually of use to us in studying U_2 .

Lemma 5.16 *Let $u \in \mathrm{GU}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}_f})$ be chosen to form the $T_{u,q}$ operator with respect to both U_1 and U_2 (for prime $q \neq p$). Then the Hecke representatives for $T_{u,q}$ with respect to U_1 and U_2 can be taken to be the same.*

Proof Recall that u has identity component away from q and $u_q \notin U_{2,q}$ so we need only to check the local condition that $U_{2,q} = U_{1,q}$.

This is clear since

$$U_{2,q} = \text{Stab}_{\text{GU}_2(D_q)}(\mathcal{O}_q^2 g_q) = \text{Stab}_{\text{GU}_2(D_q)}(\mathcal{O}_q^2 h_q) = \text{Stab}_{\text{GU}_2(D_q)}(\mathcal{O}_q^2) = U_{1,q}.$$

□

This result is useful since we have seen that it is generally easier to generate Hecke representatives for $T_{u,q}$ with respect to U_1 .

Corollary 5.17 *Let the ramified prime of D be $p \in \{2, 3\}$. Then we may use the representatives from Corollary 5.14 as Hecke representatives for $T_{u,q}$ with respect to U_2 (for $q \neq p$).*

Proof Since $p \in \{2, 3\}$, we know that both the class numbers of U_1, U_2 are 1. Hence both admit rational Hecke representatives.

We also know that given Hecke representatives for $T_{u,q}$ with respect to U_1 we may use them for U_2 . Thus, the rational representatives from Corollary 5.14 can be used for U_2 . □

5.5 Implementing the trace formula

Now that we have algorithms that generate the data needed to use the trace formula, we discuss some of the finer details in its implementation, namely how to find character values. Denote by $\chi_{j,k-3}$ the character of the representation $V_{j,k-3}$.

Given $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GU}_2(D)$, we may produce a matrix $A \in \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ via the embedding

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\sqrt{a} & \beta_1 + \beta_2\sqrt{a} & \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\sqrt{a} & \beta_3 + \beta_4\sqrt{a} \\ \gamma_1 + \gamma_2\sqrt{a} & \delta_1 + \delta_2\sqrt{a} & \gamma_3 + \gamma_4\sqrt{a} & \delta_3 + \delta_4\sqrt{a} \\ b(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4\sqrt{a}) & b(\beta_3 - \beta_4\sqrt{a}) & \alpha_1 - \alpha_2\sqrt{a} & \beta_1 - \beta_2\sqrt{a} \\ b(\gamma_3 - \gamma_4\sqrt{a}) & b(\delta_3 - \delta_4\sqrt{a}) & \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\sqrt{a} & \delta_1 - \delta_2\sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = i^2$ and $b = j^2$ in D .

This embedding is the composition of the standard embedding $D^\times \hookrightarrow \text{M}_2(K(\sqrt{a}))$ and the isomorphism $\text{GU}_2(\text{M}_2(K(\sqrt{a}))) \cong \text{GSp}_4(K(\sqrt{a})) \subseteq \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ given in Lemma 4.2.

We know that the image of $\text{GU}_2(\mathbb{H})_1 \cap \text{GU}_2(D)$ under this embedding is a subgroup of $\text{USp}(4)$, so that the matrix $B = \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu(A)}} \in \text{USp}(4)$. By writing $A = (\sqrt{\mu(A)}I)B$, it follows that

$$\chi_{j,k-3}(g) = \chi_{j,k-3}(A) = \mu(A)^{\frac{j+2k-6}{2}} \chi_{j,k-3}(B).$$

In order to find $\chi_{j,k-3}(B)$, we first find the eigenvalues of B . This is equivalent to conjugating into the maximal torus of diagonal matrices. Since $B \in \text{USp}(4)$, these

eigenvalues will come in two complex conjugate pairs z, \bar{z}, w, \bar{w} for z, w on the unit circle.

The Weyl character formula gives

$$\chi_{j,k-3}(B) = \frac{w^{j+1}(w^{2(k-2)} - 1)(z^{2(j+k-1)} - 1) - z^{j+1}(z^{2(k-2)} - 1)(w^{2(j+k-1)} - 1)}{(z^2 - 1)(w^2 - 1)(zw - 1)(z - w)(zw)^{j+k-3}}.$$

For any of the cases $z^2 = 1, w^2 = 1, zw = 1, z = w$, one must formally expand this concise formula into a polynomial expression (not an infinite sum since each factor on the denominator except zw divides the numerator). It is easy for a computer package to compute this expansion for a given j, k .

5.6 Finding the trace contribution for the new subspace

Let $\text{tr}(T_{u,q})^{\text{new}}$ and $\text{tr}(T_{u,q})^{\text{old}}$ be the traces of the action of $T_{u,q}$ on $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)$ and $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{old}}(D)$, respectively. Then $\text{tr}(T_{u,q})^{\text{new}} = \text{tr}(T_{u,q}) - \text{tr}(T_{u,q})^{\text{old}}$.

Recall that each eigenform in $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{old}}(D)$ is given by a special pair of eigenforms $F_1 \in \mathcal{A}(D^\times, U, V_j)$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\text{GU}_2(D), U_2, V_{j,k-3})$. If $j > 0$, then F_1 corresponds to a unique eigenform in $S_{j+2}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p))$ by Eichler's correspondence. Attached to the pair (F_1, F_2) is an eigenform $\theta_{F_1 \otimes F_2} \neq 0$ in $M_{j+2k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ (it is a cusp form if $j + 2k - 6 \neq 0$).

Let $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ be the Hecke eigenvalues of $F_1, F_2, \theta_{F_1 \otimes F_2}$, respectively. Ibukiyama links the eigensystems as follows.

Theorem 5.18 *For $q \neq p$, we have the following identity in $\mathbb{C}(t)$:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_q t^k = \frac{1 - q^{j+2k-4} t^2}{(1 - \alpha_q q^{k-2} t + q^{j+2k-3} t^2)(1 - \gamma_q t + q^{j+2k-3} t^2)}.$$

Corollary 5.19 *For $q \neq p$, we have $\beta_q = \gamma_q + q^{k-2} \alpha_q$.*

Ibukiyama conjectures that there is a bijection between pairs of eigenforms (F_1, θ_F) and eigenforms F_2 . With this in mind, it is now possible to calculate the oldform trace contribution.

Corollary 5.20 *Suppose $j + 2k - 6 \neq 0$. Let $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in S_{j+2k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in S_{j+2}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(p))$ be the bases of normalized eigenforms with Hecke eigenvalues a_{q,g_i} and a_{q,h_i} , respectively.*

Then, for $q \neq p$ and $j > 0$,

$$\text{tr}(T_{u,q})^{\text{old}} = n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{q,g_i} \right) + m q^{k-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{q,h_i} \right).$$

6 Examples and summary

The following table highlights the choices for D , \mathcal{O} , λ , μ that were used.

p	D	\mathcal{O}	λ	μ
2	$\left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}j \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1+i+j+k}{2}\right)$	1	$i - k$
3	$\left(\frac{-1, -3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1+j}{2}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{i+k}{2}\right)$	$1 + i$	j
5	$\left(\frac{-2, -5}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{2-i+k}{4}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{2+3i+k}{4}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{-1+i+j}{2}\right)$	2	j
7	$\left(\frac{-1, -7}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1+j}{2}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{i+k}{2}\right)$	$2 + \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}k$	j
11	$\left(\frac{-1, -11}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1+j}{2}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{i+k}{2}\right)$	$1 + 3i$	j

Using these choices along with the algorithms and results mentioned previously, one can calculate the groups $\Gamma^{(1)}$, $\Gamma^{(2)}$ for each such p , hence generating tables of dimensions of the spaces $A_{j,k-3}^{\text{new}}(D)$. These tables are given in Appendix 1.

From these tables, one isolates 1-dimensional spaces. For each possibility, the MAGMA command LRatio allows us to test for large primes dividing Λ_{alg} on the elliptic side. The cases that remained were ones where we expect to find examples of Harder's congruence.

Tables of the congruences observed can be found in Appendix 2. In particular, for $p = 2$ one observes congruences provided in Bergström [1]. We finish with some new examples for $p = 3$.

Example 6.1 By Appendix 1, we see that

$$\dim(A_{2,5}(D)) = \dim(A_{2,5}^{\text{new}}(D)) = \dim(S_{2,8}^{\text{new}}(K(3))) = 1.$$

Then $j = 2$ and $k = 8$ so that $j + 2k - 2 = 16$. Let $F \in S_{2,8}^{\text{new}}(K(3))$ be the unique normalized eigenform.

One easily checks that $\dim(S_{16}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(3))) = 2$. This space is spanned by the two normalized eigenforms with q -expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau) &= q - 234q^2 - 2187q^3 + 21988q^4 + 280710q^5 + \cdots \\ f_2(\tau) &= q - 72q^2 + 2187q^3 - 27584q^4 - 221490q^5 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, MAGMA informs us that $\text{ord}_{109}(\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f_1, 10)) = 1$ and so we expect a congruence of the form:

$$b_q \equiv a_q + q^9 + q^6 \pmod{109}$$

for all $q \neq 3$, where b_q are the Hecke eigenvalues of F and a_q the Hecke eigenvalues of f_1 . As discussed earlier, we will only work with the case $q = 2$ for simplicity.

The algorithms mentioned earlier then calculate the necessary $\frac{5760}{3^2-1} = 720$ matrices belonging to $\Gamma^{(2)}$ and the $(2+1)(2^2+1) = 15$ Hecke representatives for the operator $T_{u,2}$. Applying the trace formula, we find that $\text{tr}(T_{u,2}) = -312$.

Now since $A_{2,5}(D) = A_{2,5}^{\text{new}}(D)$, we have that $\text{tr}(T_{u,2}) = \text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{new}}$. Also the spaces are 1-dimensional and so in fact $b_2 = \text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{new}} = -312$.

The congruence is then simple to check:

$$-312 \equiv -234 + 2^9 + 2^6 \pmod{109}.$$

□

Example 6.2 We see an example where we must subtract off the oldform contribution from the trace. By Appendix 1, we see that

$$\dim(A_{8,2}(D)) = 3,$$

whereas

$$\dim(A_{8,2}^{\text{new}}(D)) = \dim(S_{8,5}^{\text{new}}(K(3))) = 1.$$

Then $j = 8$ and $k = 5$ so that $j + 2k - 2 = 16$ again. Let $F \in S_{8,5}^{\text{new}}(K(3))$ be the unique normalized eigenform.

MAGMA informs us that $\text{ord}_{67}(\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f_2, 13)) = 1$ and so we expect a congruence of the form

$$b_q \equiv a_q + q^{12} + q^3 \pmod{67},$$

for all $q \neq 3$.

Applying the trace formula this time gives $\text{tr}(T_{u,2}) = 300$. However, since $\dim(A_{8,2}(D)) > \dim(A_{8,2}^{\text{new}}(D))$, there is an oldform contribution to this trace. In order to find it, we need Hecke eigenvalues of normalized eigenforms for the spaces $S_{16}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $S_{10}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(3))$.

It is known that $\dim(S_{16}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))) = 1$ and that the unique normalized eigenform has q -expansion:

$$g(\tau) = q + 216q^2 - 3348q^3 + 13888q^4 + 52110 + \dots$$

Also $\dim(S_{10}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(3))) = 2$ and the normalized eigenforms have the following q -expansions:

$$h_1(\tau) = q - 36q^2 - 81q^3 + 784q^4 - 1314q^5 + \dots$$

$$h_2(\tau) = q + 18q^2 + 81q^3 - 188q^4 - 1540q^5 + \dots$$

Thus, using Corollary 5.20 the oldform contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{old}} &= 2a_{2,g} + 2^3(a_{2,h_1} + a_{2,h_2}) = 512 + 8(-36 + 18) \\ &= 288. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{new}} = \text{tr}(T_{u,2}) - \text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{old}} = 300 - 288 = 12$. Since our space of algebraic forms is 1-dimensional, we must have $b_2 = \text{tr}(T_{u,2})^{\text{new}} = 12$.

The congruence is then simple to check:

$$12 \equiv -72 + 2^{12} + 2^3 \pmod{67}.$$

□

Example 6.3 Our final example is a case where the Hecke eigenvalues of the elliptic modular form lie in a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} .

By Appendix 1, we see that

$$\dim(A_{6,2}(D)) = \dim(A_{6,2}^{\text{new}}(D)) = \dim(S_{6,5}^{\text{new}}(K(3))) = 1.$$

Then $j = 6$ and $k = 5$ so that $j + 2k - 2 = 14$. Let $F \in S_{6,5}^{\text{new}}(K(3))$ be the unique normalized eigenform.

One easily checks that $\dim(S_{14}^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(3))) = 3$. This space is spanned by the three normalized newforms with q -expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau) &= q - 12q^2 - 729q^3 + \cdots \\ f_2(\tau) &= q - (27 + 3\sqrt{1969})q^2 + 729q^3 + \cdots \\ f_3(\tau) &= q - (27 - 3\sqrt{1969})q^2 + 729q^3 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

MAGMA informs us that $\text{ord}_{47}(N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1969})/\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda_{\text{alg}}(f_2, 11))) = 1$ and so we expect a congruence of the form

$$b_q \equiv a_q + q^{10} + q^3 \pmod{\lambda}$$

for some prime ideal λ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{1969}}{2}\right]$ satisfying $\lambda \mid 47$ (note that 47 splits in this extension).

The trace formula gives $\text{tr}(T_{u,2}) = 72$ and the usual arguments show that $b_2 = 72$. It is then observed that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1969})/\mathbb{Q}}(b_2 - a_2 - 2^{10} - 2^3) = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1969})/\mathbb{Q}}(-933 + 3\sqrt{1969}) = 852768.$$

This is divisible by 47 and so the congruence holds for $q = 2$. □

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Appendix 1: Newform dimensions

For each prime $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$, the following tables give the values of $\dim(A_{j,k}^{\text{new}}(D))$ for $0 \leq j \leq 20$ even and $0 \leq k \leq 15$. We use the specific quaternion algebras given in Section 6. Note that Ibukiyama conjectures that these values are equal to $\dim(S_{j,k+3}^{\text{new}}(K(p)))$.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$p = 2$																
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	3	2	3	2
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	4	2	4	5
4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	4	7	7	9	9
6	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	3	5	7	10	9	13	14
8	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	4	7	7	9	10	15	17	20	22
10	0	0	0	1	3	4	4	6	10	10	14	17	21	23	29	33
12	0	0	1	1	3	5	6	8	12	14	17	21	28	30	37	41
14	0	0	1	3	5	6	9	12	17	19	24	29	37	40	49	56
16	0	1	2	4	8	9	13	16	23	26	32	38	48	53	63	70
18	0	0	2	5	9	11	15	20	28	31	39	46	58	64	76	86
20	0	2	3	7	12	16	20	26	35	41	50	58	71	81	94	106
$p = 3$																
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	3	3	5	4	5	8
2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	4	6	8	9	11	14
4	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	3	5	8	8	12	15	17	22	27
6	0	0	1	2	2	3	7	7	10	14	16	21	27	30	37	45
8	0	0	1	3	4	6	8	12	16	20	25	31	38	46	54	64
10	0	0	1	4	5	10	13	16	23	30	35	45	54	63	76	90
12	0	1	4	7	8	15	20	25	32	43	49	62	75	86	102	121
14	0	1	5	9	13	19	27	34	44	55	67	81	97	113	133	154
16	0	2	6	13	17	25	36	44	57	72	84	104	124	142	167	194
18	1	3	10	18	24	35	47	58	75	93	109	131	157	180	209	242
20	0	6	12	22	31	45	58	74	92	114	136	162	189	221	254	292
$p = 5$																
0	1	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	5	5	7	8	10	11	14	16
2	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	7	10	13	17	22	27	33	40
4	0	1	1	3	4	7	10	14	18	25	31	39	48	59	70	84
6	0	0	3	4	7	11	17	22	31	39	50	63	77	92	112	131
8	0	3	5	9	15	21	28	40	51	64	81	99	119	144	169	198
10	0	2	6	12	20	29	41	54	71	90	112	136	165	196	231	270
12	1	6	14	22	31	48	62	81	104	130	157	193	228	269	316	366
14	0	7	17	27	44	60	82	107	136	167	207	247	294	346	404	465
16	3	13	24	43	61	84	113	145	180	224	269	322	381	445	514	594
18	3	14	34	53	78	109	143	181	230	279	336	401	472	548	636	727
20	4	26	45	72	105	143	183	236	289	352	423	500	582	680	779	890
$p = 7$																
0	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	13	15	18	22	26	31
2	0	0	1	1	3	5	8	12	16	22	29	37	47	57	70	84
4	0	1	1	5	7	12	18	26	34	47	59	75	93	114	136	164
6	1	3	7	11	18	26	38	50	67	85	107	133	162	194	232	272
8	0	6	10	19	29	43	57	80	102	130	162	199	239	289	339	398
10	1	5	14	26	42	60	85	111	145	183	228	276	334	396	467	545
12	4	15	29	47	67	98	128	168	212	265	321	391	463	546	638	740

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
14	4	18	38	60	93	127	171	221	280	344	422	504	599	703	819	943
16	5	27	49	86	122	170	226	291	361	449	539	646	762	892	1030	1189
18	13	37	76	116	168	228	299	377	473	573	690	818	962	1116	1291	1475
20	13	54	94	150	214	291	373	477	585	712	852	1008	1174	1367	1567	1791
$p = 11$																
0	1	1	2	3	4	6	8	11	15	19	24	31	38	46	56	67
2	0	1	2	4	9	14	21	31	43	57	75	95	119	147	178	213
4	1	4	6	15	22	35	51	71	93	125	157	197	243	296	353	422
6	3	5	18	27	44	66	94	124	168	212	268	332	405	484	581	681
8	2	17	28	49	77	111	149	205	261	331	413	506	607	730	858	1005
10	7	20	43	75	115	161	225	293	377	475	586	709	856	1012	1189	1386
12	11	38	74	120	170	248	342	422	536	667	808	983	1163	1372	1603	1857
14	15	53	103	159	243	329	439	567	714	875	1072	1278	1515	1778	2068	2379
16	26	78	138	230	324	444	586	749	928	1147	1377	1642	1937	2261	2610	3008
18	38	100	198	298	428	582	759	954	1195	1447	1738	2063	2421	2806	3246	3707
20	44	148	252	390	554	745	954	1215	1487	1804	2157	2547	2966	3448	3951	4509

Appendix 2: Congruences

The following table gives information on the congruences found. For simplicity, we only give the Hecke eigenvalues at $q = 3$ when $p = 2$ and $q = 2$ when $p = 3, 5, 7, 11$.

Note that there is no congruence at level 11 (even though one is expected). This does not contradict the conjecture since $\lambda \mid 11$ in this case.

Whenever a_q is rational, we give the Hecke eigenvalue explicitly. When it lies in a bigger number field, we give the minimal polynomial $f(x)$ defining \mathbb{Q}_f (then the Hecke eigenvalue a_2 in all of our cases is exactly a root α of this polynomial).

The large primes given are the rational primes lying below the prime for which the congruence holds.

(j, k)	$N(\lambda)$	$\text{tr}(T_q)$	b_q	a_q
$p = 2$				
(0, 14)	37	2, 223, 720	2, 223, 720	97, 956
(2, 10)	61	18, 360	18, 360	-13, 092
(2, 11)	71	-57, 528	-57, 528	59, 316
(2, 12)	29	-122, 040	-122, 040	-505, 908
(4, 10)	61	-189, 720	-189, 720	71, 604
(6, 7)	29	1872	3240	6084
(10, 6)	109	216	216	-13, 092
(12, 5)	79	77, 544	-7560	-53, 028
(12, 6)	23	-275, 688	30, 600	71, 604
(14, 5)	379	102, 960	63, 000	59, 316
(16, 4)	37	-97, 488	-23, 400	71, 604
$p = 3$				
(2, 8)	109	-312	-312	-234
(4, 6)	23	-36	-36	-12

(j, k)	$N(\lambda)$	$\text{tr}(T_q)$	b_q	a_q
(6, 5)	47	72	72	$x^2 + 54x - 16, 992$
(8, 5)	67	300	12	-72
(10, 5)	433	120	24	$x^2 - 594x - 42, 912$
(12, 4)	23	-1716	132	204
(14, 4)	617	-240	72	$x^2 - 702x - 664, 128$
$p = 5$				
(2, 7)	61	-76	-76	$x^3 - 142x^2 - 11, 144x + 901, 248$
$p = 7$				
(2, 5)	263	-44	-44	$x^3 - 21x^2 - 1326x + 19, 080$
(4, 4)	101	-2	-2	$x^2 + 6x - 184$
(4, 5)	43	-70	10	$x^2 + 54x - 2640$
$p = 11$				
(2, 4)	11	-20	-20	N/A

References

1. Bergström, J., Faber, C., van der Geer, G.: Siegel modular forms of genus 2 and level 2: cohomological computations and conjectures. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008). doi:[10.1093/imrn/rnn100](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnn100)
2. Böcherer, S., Schulze-Pillot, R.: Vector valued theta series and Waldspurger's theorem. In: *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 211–233 (1994)
3. Bruinier, J.H., van der Geer, G., Harder, G., Zagier, D.: *The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms*. Springer, Berlin (2008)
4. Buzzard, K.: Computing modular forms on definite quaternion algebras. http://www.imperial.ac.uk/~buzzard/maths/research/notes/old_notes_about_computing_modular_forms_on_def_quat_algs
5. Chenevier, G., Lannes, J.: Formes automorphes et voisins de Kneser des réseaux de Niemeier. [arxiv.org:1409.7616v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.7616v2)
6. Cléry, F., Faber, C., van der Geer, G.: Covariants of binary sextics and vector-valued Siegel modular forms of genus two. [arxiv.org:1606.07014](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07014)
7. Dembélé, L.: On the computation of algebraic modular forms on compact inner forms of GSp_4 . *Math. Comput.* **83**(288), 1931–1950 (2014)
8. Dummigan, N., Fretwell, D.: Ramanujan style congruences of local origin. *J. Number Theory* **143**, 248–261 (2014)
9. Dummigan, N.: A simple trace formula for algebraic modular forms. *Exp. Math.* **22**, 123–131 (2013)
10. Gan, W.T., Hanke, J.P., Yu, J.: On an exact mass formula of Shimura. *Duke Math. J.* **107**(1), 103–133 (2001)
11. Ghitza, A.: Hecke eigenvalues of Siegel modular forms (mod p) and of algebraic modular forms. *J. Number Theory* **106**(2), 345–384 (2004)
12. Ghitza, A., Ryan, N., Sulon, D.: Computations of vector-valued Siegel modular forms. *J. Number Theory* **133**(11), 3921–3940 (2013)
13. Greenberg, M., Voight, J.: Lattice methods for algebraic modular forms on classical groups. In: *Computations with Modular Forms. Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, vol. 6. Springer, Berlin (2014)
14. Gross, B.: Algebraic modular forms Israel. *J. Math.* **113**(1), 61–93 (1999)
15. Harder, G.: A congruence between a Siegel and an elliptic modular form. In: *Featured in “The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms”*. Springer, Berlin
16. Harder, G.: Cohomology in the language of Adeles. <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/harder/Manuscripts/buch/chap3-2014>
17. Hashimoto, K., Ibukiyama, T.: On class numbers of positive definite binary quaternion Hermitian forms. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math.* **27**, 549–601 (1980)
18. Hashimoto, K., Ibukiyama, T.: On class numbers of positive definite binary quaternion Hermitian forms (II). *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math.* **28**, 695–699 (1982)
19. Ibukiyama, T.: On symplectic Euler factors of genus 2. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **57**(5), 271–275 (1981)

20. Ibukiyama, T.: On maximal orders of division quaternion algebras over the rational number field with certain optimal embeddings. Nagoya Math. J. **88**, 181–195 (1982)
21. Ibukiyama, T.: On automorphic forms on the unitary symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Math. Ann. **278**(1–4), 307–327 (1987)
22. Ibukiyama, T.: Paramodular forms and compact twist. In: Furusawa, M. (ed.) Automorphic Forms on $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, Proceedings of the 9th Autumn Workshop on Number Theory, pp. 37–48 (2007)
23. Ibukiyama, T., Katsura, T., Oort, F.: Supersingular curves of genus 2 and class numbers. Compos. Math. **57**(2), 127–152 (1986)
24. Ihara, Y.: On certain arithmetical Dirichlet series. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **16**, 214–225 (1964)
25. Lehmer, D.H.: The vanishing of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$. Duke Math. J. **14**, 429–433 (1947)
26. Loeffler, D.: Computing with algebraic automorphic forms. In: Computations with Modular Forms. Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences, vol. 6. Springer, Berlin (2014)
27. Roberts, B., Schmidt, R.: Local newforms for GSp_4 . In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1918. Springer, Berlin (2007)
28. Shimura, G.: Arithmetic of alternating forms and quaternion hermitian forms. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **15**(1), 33–65 (1963)
29. Stein, W.: Modular Forms, a Computational Approach, vol. 79. American Mathematical Society, GSM, Providence (2007)
30. Wiese, G.: Galois representations. <http://math.uni.lu/~wiese/notes/GalRep>
31. <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/159604/integral-elements-of-quaternion-algebras-with-predescribed-properties>